

**TOWN OF NEW BALTIMORE PLANNING BOARD**  
**Public Hearing**  
**Lands of Bernard Jones – Minor Subdivision Application**  
**March 8, 2018**

Location: N/S Scheller Park Road  
# of Lots: 2 – #2A - 6.46 acres  
                  #2 - 21.21 acres

The Public Hearing was opened at 7:15 p.m. by Planning Board Chair Rob Van Etten. Other Board Members in attendance were Jean Horn, Bob Court, Pat Bruno and Lee Salisbury. Ann Marie Vadney and Bill Boehlke were absent. Mr. Jones and his surveyor, George Harvey, were present.

Green cards were presented for certified letters sent to:

Brendan Persson	Jody Hotaling
David Diamond	George Barrett
Deborah Best	Frances Andrus

And white receipt for certified letter sent to: James Boehm

All requiring notification were so notified. Copy of deed needed to complete the application was presented. Maps were laid out. Mr. Jones is subdividing 6.46 acres from his 37-acre parcel, “to be used in conjunction with the adjoining Land of Best”, Debra Lynn Best, Mr. Jones’ daughter. The Board had no questions. Members of the public were invited to come forward, review the map, ask questions and/or offer comment. Alfred Suwara came forward but on learning he had misunderstood Surveyor Harvey’s comment of a two-lot subdivision, thinking it was two-acre lots, he had no questions or comments.

Short Form EAF was gone through as follows:

1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning Regulations? - **NO**
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? - **NO**
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? - **NO**
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? - **NO**
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? - **NO**
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? - **NO**
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:
  - a. public/private water supplies? - **NO**
  - b. public/private wastewater treatment utilities? - **NO**
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources? - **NO**
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g. wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)? - **NO**
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems? - **NO**
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health? - **NO**

At 7:22 p.m. it was moved by Van Etten and seconded by Horn to close the Public Hearing.

Ayes: 5    Nays: 0    Abstained: 0    Absent: 2

mbl

